*Previous references.*

Govt Rs: No 1932-85 J. 137 of 02-03 dated 16-3-04. Same file Jan 05

**File No. 16 of 1904-05.**

**1904**

CIII - Police

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

## Serial Nos. 1 and 2.

**PART B FOR** August 1904.

SUBJECT.

Employment of Public Prosecutor Bangalore to oppose the application made by the accused persons in Shettihalli dacoity case to prosecute Inspector Ranganaikalu Chetty for giving false evidence

---

FILE No. 16 of 1904-05

*Defence at Government cost of Police Inspector Ranganaikalu Chetty of Mulbagal Taluk and five others in the matter of the application made by the accused persons in the Settihalli Dacoity case for their prosecution for giving false evidence.*

rom the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, letter No. 426—39, dated the 25th July 1904.

Requests, for reasons stated, sanction to defend at Government cost Police Inspector Ranganaikalu Chetty of the Mulbagal Taluk and five others in the Sessions Court, Bangalore Division, in the matter of an application made by the accused persons in the Settihalli Dacoity case to accord sanction to prosecute the former for giving false evidence against them.

(Enclosures, three.)

overnment Proceedings No. 265-7, dated 1st August 1904.

Sanctions the employment of the Public Prosecutor to oppose the application referred to in Serial No. 1.

P. No. 1932-85—J. 137-02-03, dated 16th March 1904.

G.R.S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( Police )

NOTES.

File No. 16 of 1904-05

FILE HEADING.

Defence at Govt. Cost of Police Inspector Ranganaikalu Chetty of the Mulbagal Taluk and five others in the Sessions Court in the matter of an application made by the accused in the Sattihalli Dacoity for their prosecution for having given false evidence.

From

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

No. 426-39 Dated 25-6-04 Received 26-6-04

| Diary No. 2213 | Enclos. 3 | Spare Copies. - | Serial No. |
|---|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests for reasons stated sanction to defend at Govt Cost Police Insp Ranganaikalu Chetty of the Mulbagal Taluk & 5 others in the Sessions Court Bangalore &c in the matter of an application made by the accused in the Sattihalli Dacoity case to accord sanction to prosecute the former for giving false evidence against them.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Recorded in P 5-8-04

The Police Supt, Kolar Gold Fields and the Deputy Comr, Kolar &c recommend the appointment of the Public Prosecutor, or if he is not available, some other Competent Advocate, to oppose the application referred to. The Inspector General of Police supports the recommendation

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—5,000—18-5-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 16 of 1904-05.

Serial No.

The records of the case have not been sent.

The hearing of the application is reported to have been posted on the 3rd August 1904.

Subt with a draft sanctg the proposal, for approval or other orders.

SP 26/7/04 RR 26-7-04

Reg 6 R. 27-7-04.

Lay before the Council on 26.7.1904

Draft approved.

27/7/04

Draft submitted to the Dewan Saheb for approval 28/7/1904

550 28.7.04 Draft approved of by the Dewan.

2. Govt. Pro: No. 265-7-J.7 16-04-05 dated the 1st August 1904, sanctioning the employment of the Public Prosecutor as recommended in the above.

MGCPB--10 000--19-5-04

III
Secret 96/7
(Police)

Urgent

1904-05. J213

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated. 25th.

Despatched. 26 July 1904.

Received.

General No. 426/39
No.

Enclosure No. 3

Requesting sanction for the services of the Public Prosecutor to defend Ranganaiculu Chetty, Inspector of Mulbagal Taluk concerned in the Settihally dacoity case.

U R G E N.T.

J 213. Ag No 1

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore, 25th. July 1904.

NO: L 26/39.
Encl: No. 3.

From

F.E.C.Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

B.K.Venkatavaradaiengar Esquire,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith copies of - letter No. C. 16 of the 7th July 1904 and its enclosures - from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar.

The Deputy Commissioner states that the accused per-sons in the Settihally dacoity case, Mulbagal Taluk, have - moved the Sessions Judge of Nandidroog Division to sanction the prosecution of Ranganaikalu Chetty, Inspector of Mulbagal Taluk, and other witnesses in the above case for having given false evidence. The Deputy Commissioner recommends that in the interests of the public service, this application may be opposed in the Sessions Court, and proposes that the services of either the Public Prosecutor, or some other competent - Counsel, may be engaged for the purpose at Government expense.

In the circumstances represented by the Deputy -- Commissioner and the District Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Feilds, I request that you will be so good as to move -

the

the Government to sanction the proposal at an early date, as the hearing of the application stands fixed to the 3rd. --- Proximo.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

*From*
K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

*To*
F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

*Dated*

*Despatched* 7th July 1904.

*Received*

*General No.*
*No.* C. 16

*Enclosure No.* two

---

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith in original letter No: 322 dated 28th June 1904 with its enclosure from the District Superintendent of Police, Kolar Gold Fields, and to state that I concur with him in thinking -- that in the interests of Public Service it is very necessary to oppose in the Sessions Court the application for sanction to prosecute the Inspector of Police, Mulbagal, referred to in his --- enclosed Memo. If you are also of the same opinion, I request you will be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor or any other competent counsel at Government cost,

under

under clause (b) of para 2 of Government Proceedings No: 1932-85/ J137 of 02-03 dated 16th March 1904 as this service does not form one of the legitimate duties of the Public Prosecutor defined in the said Government Proceedings. As the application is posted for hearing in the Sessions Court on the 18th instant, I request that early orders may be obtained.

I have &c.

/ Signed./ K. P. Puttanna Chetty.
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

---

" C O P

Inspector General of Police.

*From* P. B. Thomas Esquire,
District Superintendent of Police,
Kolar Gold Fields.

*To* K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

*Dated*
*Despatched* 28th June 1904.
*Received*
*General No.* 322
*No.*

*Enclosure No.*

---

Sir,

In forwarding in original the enclosed report from the Mulbagal Division Inspector and the Amildar's remark thereon, I have the honor to - tate that I entirely agree with the pinion expressed by the Amildar, and o request that the Public Prosecutor ay be directed to appear in defence - f the Inspector.

I have &c .

/ Signed./ P. B. Thomas.
District Superintendent of Police,
Kolar Gold Fields.

---

" C O P Y "

Inspector General of Police.

:.L.

No. 576

SPECIAL REPORT.

I respectfully beg to state that the accused concerned in the Setti halli Dacoity case that occured here on 28-9-03 have requested the Session Judge of the Nandidroog Division to give them sanction to prosecute me an 5 others including the complainant in the case for giving false evidence -- against them and for concocting the - case against them.

The Sessions Judge on their application issued notices to me as well as to 5 others including the com plainant to show cause on the 18th -- July 1904 at Bangalore why sanction should not be accorded for my prose- cution as well as the 5 others.

As the District Superintende of Police and the Amildar of this --- Taluk who took a personal interest in the case are perfectly aware that the case was launched only at the volun- tary statement of the complainant in the case. It is said that I have ins tigated the complainant to name the accused in the case and thus put them in much trouble and inconvenience . As the District Superintendent of --- Police is aware that prior to my goin to the spot, the Station House Office of Thampally recorded the statement of the complainant in which he named some

Submitted ,

In this border Taluk there is a strong clique of bad characters who for ostensible purposes are res- pectable citizens. The grave crime committed in this Taluk was mainly due to the instigations of some ~~and~~ one of this clique. These persons are figuring as wire-pullers in the case and want to threaten the Police.

In the interests of Govern- ment work, I would solicit that the District Magistrate may be moved to oppose the application and instruct the Public Prosecutor for needful.

/ Sd./ Venkataramiah.

Amildar.

20-6-1904.

some 4 accused residents of Settihally and the others he refused to inform him on the ground the Station House Officer has not sufficient men to arrest and search their houses. By this it will be seen that the complainant had in his view the names of all the accused whom he suspect ed in the dacoity. He says in his state ment before the committing Magistrate's court as well as in the Sessions Court that he was able to catch sight of the dacoits on the night of the occurrence by means of the light thrown out by the torches. Besides this there are other grounds to prove that the statement of the complainant is nothing but voluntary and not taken down against his will and knowledge as laid down by the accused in their application for sanction.

As far as I can say I have done my duty honestly and I am not to be --- blamed in this case. I therefore earnestly pray that the District Superintendent of Police will be good enough to - kindly move the District Magistrate of Kolar to give instructions to the Public Prosecutor at Bangalore re this case -- and to request that the sanction prayed for by the accused may be opposed on facts full known to him ( P. P. ).

Crl: Mis: No: 8 of 1903.

20-6-1904. / Signed./P.C.Ranganayacalu Chetty

Inspector of Police, Mulbagal.Division

---

" C O P Y "

Inspector General of Police.

G.R.S. 28]

**File No.** 16 of 1904-05

**Serial No.** 2

*Diary No. of letter replied to* 213

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Police)

, *the* ✓ 190

Date of issue 1 8 04

Signed by K.S. Chendrasekara Iyer Esqr

07

READ.—

Letter No. 426/39 dated the 25th July 1904, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, stating that the accused persons in the Settihalli Dacoity Case have moved the Sessions judge of the Bangalore Division to sanction the prosecution of Ranganaikalu Chetty, Police Inspector of the Mulbagal Taluk and other witnesses in the above

*over*

ORDER THEREON.—NO.

SUBJECT

case for having given false evidence and requesting that, in the interests of the public service, the Public Prosecutor of Bangalore Dist or some other Competent Advocate, may be engaged at Govt expense to oppose the application in the Sessions Court.

Order thereon No 265-7(16 of 04-05) dy 1-8-04
J.

As recommended by the I.G.

Police, Govt are pleased to sanction the employment of the Public Prosecutor of Bangalore Division to oppose the application referred to in the above before the Sessions Court.

28/7/1904
Secretary

29 VII

1.8.1904

I.G. of Police in Mysore
Dy Com. Kolar Dt
Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Dist.

28/7/04 26-7-04

Copd: D.R.P. 1-8-04
Examd: 1.8.04